


REMARKS

Applicants confirm the election of claims 1-11 in response to the Examiner's restriction requirements.

The Examiner objected to claims 3 and 11 as depending from rejected independent claims, but indicated that those claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. With the above amendments, Applicants have added the limitations of claim 3 to claim 1.

Additionally, claim 29 is equivalent to original claim 11 rewritten in independent form. In view of the Examiner's indication of claims 3 and 11 being allowable if written in independent form, claims 1 and 29 are allowable. Claims 2, 4-11, and 30-38 each depend from one of claims 1 and 29 and are therefore also allowable.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel S. Jones
Reg. No. 42,697

Docket No.: 031383-9079-01
Michael Best & Friedrich LLP
100 East Wisconsin Avenue
Suite 3300
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108
414.271.6560

T:\client\031383\9079\A1139157.1